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## Introduction

- Regulatory exposure modeling for pesticides relies heavily on hypothetical scenarios for landscape variability
- Proximity is an important factor to potential aquatic exposure from agricultural application of pesticides
- Characterizing proximity 'in total' allows for reduced
 uncertainty regarding off-field pesticide transport
- High resolution cropping and hydrographic data used to characterize agricultural proximity across the country and discern regional variations



## Cropping and hydrographic data for France

## Agricultural parcels

- Registre parcellaire graphique (RPG)
- Developed to support common agricultural policy (CAP)
- 28 crop groups and over 200 individual crops
- 9.4 million parcels
- 8ooK parcels - maize
- 431K parcels - winter cereals (barley, oats, rye, triticale)



## Surface water

- BDTOPO hydrology
- Flowing and static water bodies
- 2.7 million line features
- 0.9 million area features
- Attributes
- Permanence
- Nature
- Size



## Characterizing proximity to agriculture

- Determine portion of agricultural fields near surface water
- i.e., the portion of crop that might impact surface water via off-field drift transport
- Distances of interest vary
- Quantitative measurements in Geographic Information Systems (GIS) based on spatial datasets
- Three methods explored to assess proximity



## Proximity: Binary Method

- If any portion of the maize parcel is within the proximity distance, the entire maize parcel area is considered "impacted"
- Most conservative since the entire parcel is considered impacted, regardless of what fraction is within the proximity distance



## Proximity: Buffer Method

- Only the portion of the maize parcel that directly overlaps the proximity distance is impacted
- Least conservative since only the direct overlap area is considered impacted



## Proximity:Threshold Method

- Hybrid between the Binary and Buffer Method
- If a specified percentage of the maize parcel (i.e., the 'threshold') falls inside the proximity distance, the entire parcel is considered impacted (i.e., Binary Method)
- Otherwise, only the direct parcel area with the proximity distance is considered impacted (i.e., Buffer Method)


## France maize and winter cereals production



## Binary Method results




## Buffer Method results



| Proximity <br> Distance (m) | Winter Cereals in Buffer (ha) | \% FR Winter Cereals in Buffer |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 8,851 | 0.5\% |  |
| 20 | 27,004 | 1.6\% | of Franc |
| 30 | 48,690 | 2.9\% | Winter Cereals <br> $\square \leq 3 \%$ |
| 40 | 71,524 | 4.3\% |  |
| 50 | 97,148 | 5.8\% | $\square \leq 15 \%$ |



## Threshold Method results

- Percentage of crop area impacted based on threshold
- If a 10\% threshold is applied to the 3om proximity distance, $20 \%$ of the total maize area would be impacted
- If a $25 \%$ threshold is applied to the same proximity distance, 8.3\% of the total maize area would be impacted



## Threshold Method results contains information on all three methods

- The Binary Method is equivalent to a threshold of o\% (i.e., any portion of the parcel within proximity)
- Left side of the chart ( x -axis $=0 \%$ )
- The Buffer Method is equivalent to a threshold of $100 \%$ (i.e., $100 \%$ of the parcel is within proximity)
- Right side of the chart ( $x$-axis $=100 \%$ )
- The Buffer Method is the minimum amount of maize impacted by a specific proximity distance
- Therefore, the plot does not reach $0 \%$ maize impacted on the right side of the $x$-axis


## Threshold Method results - compare maize and winter cereals




## Scalability

\% of FR winter cereals (13.8\%)

- France : Region : Department
- Crop level
- Surface water type (e.g., only permanent water)

Buffer Method - Percent of Winter Cereals in Proximity Grand Est Department Level (NUTS3)



Threshold Method - Winter Cereals


## Processing and automation

- Due to limitations in ArcGIS software, processing primarily performed at the Department level
- Automation using models in ArcGIS
- Chain functions together and iterate through spatial units in a controlled, reproducible and recorded manner
 and chart results



```
    df_in = df_in.sort_values(by = [.PCt_of_parcep '],ascending=Faise) #sort dataframe by parcel
    arr_in = df_in.to_numpy() #convert to numpy array
    print ('Number of initial records in table:', len(arr_in)
    #row_mask=arr_in[:1]==0/ np.logical_and(arr_in[{,1]== 1, arr_in[:5] == 1) # create a
    lol
    A_tot = np.sum(arr_new[:,3])/10000 #calculate total area using SUM_Shape_Area (polygon areas)
    perc_prox = 1-arr_new[:,5] #calculate percent of parcel within buffer. subtract pct parcel f
    ha_prox = perc_prox arr new[:,4] #calculate area within buffer in ha
    lol
    perc_dist = ha_dist / A_tot #calculate the percentage of total distant crop Python
    print('Total Parcel Area Within Buffer:',', np.sum(ha_prox)),

\section*{Summary}
- This study demonstrates the viability of parcel-level proximity analyses across mainland France

- Applicable to other crop types and time periods
- The ability to subgroup results by administrative unit allows for further exploration and initial evaluation of factors relevant to crop proximity
- Further investigation into parcel proximity to surface water: landscape and anthropogenic factors
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